

(12) **UK Patent Application** (19) **GB** (11) **2 326 334** (13) **A**

(43) Date of A Publication 23.12.1998

(21) Application No **9712434.1**

(22) Date of Filing **13.06.1997**

(71) Applicant(s)
Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA
(Incorporated in Italy)
Via Palermo 26/A, 43100 Parma, Italy

(72) Inventor(s)
Paolo Ventura
Gaetano Brambilla
Rafaella Garzia
Andrew David Lewis
David Ganderton
Brian John Meakin

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service
Abel & Imray
Northumberland House, 303-306 High Holborn,
LONDON, WC1V 7LH, United Kingdom

(51) INT CL⁶
A61K 9/00

(52) UK CL (Edition P)
A5B BLC B180 B35Y B351 B40Y B400 B402

(56) Documents Cited
EP 0653204 A EP 0504112 A WO 98/01147 A
WO 95/27476 A US 5502076 A
Abstracts of DE 4123663 A (Schwabe GmbH & Co.)

(58) Field of Search
UK CL (Edition P) A5B BLC
INT CL⁶ A61K 9/00 9/12
ONLINE: EPODOC, JAPIO, WPI

(54) Abstract Title
Pharmaceutical aerosol compositions

(57) A composition for use in an aerosol inhaler comprises an active material, a propellant containing a hydrofluoroalkane and a cosolvent. The composition further includes a low volatility component which is added to increase the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of the aerosol particles on actuation of the inhaler. With the addition of the low volatility component, the MMAD of the aerosol particles may be comparable to the MMAD of aerosol particles of an aerosol inhaler including CFC as propellant.

The low volatility component is preferably glycerol, polyethylene glycol 400 or propylene glycol.

GB 2 326 334 A

Pharmaceutical Aerosol Composition

The invention relates to aerosol compositions for pharmaceutical use. In particular, this invention relates to aerosol compositions for use in pressurised
5 metered dose inhalers (MDI). The invention also relates to the use of certain components in aerosol compositions, a method for their preparation and to their use for the administration of active material by inhalation.

Inhalers are well known devices for administering
10 pharmaceutical products to the respiratory tract by inhalation.

Active materials commonly delivered by inhalation include bronchodilators such as β_2 agonists and anticholinergics, corticosteroids, anti-leukotrienes,
15 anti-allergics and other materials that may be efficiently administered by inhalation, thus increasing the therapeutic index and reducing side effects of the active material.

There are a number of types of inhaler currently
20 available. The most widely used type is a pressurised metered dose inhaler (MDI) which uses a propellant to expel droplets containing the pharmaceutical product to the respiratory tract as an aerosol. Formulations used in MDIs (aerosol formulations) generally comprise the
25 active material, one or more liquified propellants and a surfactant or a solvent.

For many years the preferred propellants used in

aerosols for pharmaceutical use have been a group of chlorofluorocarbons which are commonly called Freons or CFCs, such as CCl_3F (Freon 11 or CFC-11), CCl_2F_2 (Freon 12 or CFC-12), and $\text{CClF}_2\text{-CClF}_2$ (Freon 114 or CFC-114).

5 Chlorofluorocarbons have properties particularly suitable for use in aerosols, including high vapour pressure which generates clouds of droplets of a suitable particle size from the inhaler.

Recently, the chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) propellants
10 such as Freon 11 and Freon 12 have been implicated in the destruction of the ozone layer and their production is being phased out.

In 1987, under the auspices of the United Nations Environmental Programme, the Montreal Protocol on
15 Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer was developed calling for the progressive reduction in CFC use until their elimination.

The aerosol pharmaceutical products for the treatment of asthma and bronchopulmonary diseases are
20 agreed to be essential and enjoy a temporary exemption. However it is believed that the medical use of CFCs will be discontinued in the near future. The ozone-destroying potential of CFCs is proportional to the chlorine content.

25 Hydrofluoroalkanes [(HFAs) known also as hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)] contain no chlorine and are considered less destructive to ozone and these are proposed as substitutes for CFCs.

HFAs and in particular 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFA 134a) and 1,1,1,2,3,3,3-heptafluoropropane (HFA 227) have been acknowledged to be the best candidates for non-CFC propellants and a number of medicinal aerosol formulations using such HFA propellant systems are disclosed in several patent applications.

Many of these applications, in which HFAs are used as propellant, propose the addition of one or more of adjuvants including compounds acting as cosolvents, surface active agents including fluorinated and non-fluorinated surfactants, dispersing agents including alkylpolyethoxylates and stabilizers.

Cosolvents which may be used in these formulations include alcohols such as ethanol and polyols such as propylene glycol.

Medicinal aerosol formulations using such propellant systems are disclosed in, for example, EP 0372777. EP 0372777 requires the use of HFA 134a as a propellant in combination with both a surfactant and an adjuvant having higher polarity than the propellant.

For aerosol suspension compositions, a surfactant is often added to improve the physical stability of the suspension. EP 0372777 states that the presence of surfactant assists in the preparation of stable, homogeneous suspensions and may also assist in the preparation of stable solution formulations.

Surfactants also lubricate the valve components in the inhaler device.

The use of propylene glycol as a solvent having a higher polarity than the propellant in HFA pressurized metered dose inhalers formulations has been mentioned in several other patent applications and for example in:

- 5 - EP 504112 relates to a pharmaceutical aerosol formulation free from CFCs containing a propellant (hydrocarbon, HFA or a mixture), one or more pharmaceutical active ingredients, a non-ionic surfactant and optionally other conventional pharmaceutical
10 auxiliaries suitable for aerosol formulations comprising solvents having a higher polarity than the propellant, other non-ionic surfactants as valve lubricants, vegetable oils, phospholipids, taste masking agents.
- DE 4123663 describes a medical aerosol composition
15 containing a dispersion or suspension of an active agent in association with surface-active or lipophilic properties, and an alcohol and heptafluoropropane as propellant. The alcohol is ethanol and/or propylene glycol;
- 20 - U.S. 5,534,242 describes an aerosol-dispensable pharmaceutical composition comprising lidocaine base and a vasoconstrictor dissolved in an HFA propellant and optionally an organic solvent.

Other applications propose the addition of

dispersing agents to the composition. U.S. 5,502,076 concerns compositions used in inhalation aerosols comprising an HFA, leukotriene antagonists and dispersing agent comprising 3C-linked triesters, vitamin E acetate, 5 glycerin, t-BuOH, or transesterified oil/polyethylene glycol.

EP 384371, describes a propellant for an aerosol, comprising pressure-liquefied HFA 227 in a mixture with pressure-liquefied propane and/or n-butane and/or 10 iso-butane and/or dimethyl ether and/or 1,1-difluoroethane. The document also discloses foam formulations (shaving and shower foams) containing glycerol as additive.

The effectiveness of an aerosol device, for example 15 an MDI, is a function of the dose deposited at the appropriate site in the lungs. Deposition is affected by several factors, of which one of the most important is the aerodynamic particle size. Solid particles and/or droplets in an aerosol formulation can be characterized 20 by their mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD, the diameter around which the mass aerodynamic diameters are distributed equally).

Particle deposition in the lung depends largely upon three physical mechanisms. (1) impaction, a function of 25 particle inertia; (2) sedimentation due to gravity; and (3) diffusion resulting from Brownian motion of fine, submicrometer ($< 1\mu\text{m}$) particles. The mass of the particles determines which of the three main mechanisms

predominates.

The effective aerodynamic diameter is a function of the size, shape and density of the particles and will affect the magnitude of forces acting on them. For example, while inertial and gravitational effects increase with increasing particle size and particle density, the displacements produced by diffusion decrease. Therefore, the MMAD of the aerosol particles is particularly important for deposition of the particles in the respiratory tract.

Aerosol particles of equivalent MMAD and GSD (Geometric Standard Deviation) have similar deposition in the lung irrespective of their composition. The GSD is a measure of the variability of the aerodynamic particle diameters.

For inhalation therapy there is a preference for aerosols in which the particles for inhalation have a diameter of about 0.8 to 5 μm . Particles which are larger than 5 μm in diameter are primarily deposited by inertial impaction in the oropharynx, particles 0.5 to 5 μm in diameter, influenced mainly by gravity, are ideal for deposition in the conducting airways, and particles 0.5 to 3 μm in diameter are desirable for aerosol delivery to the lung periphery. Particles smaller than 0.5 μm may be exhaled.

Respirable particles are generally considered to be those with aerodynamic diameters less than 5 μm . These particles, particularly those with a diameter of about

3 μ m, are efficiently deposited in the lower respiratory tract by sedimentation.

It has been recently demonstrated in patients with mild and severe airflow obstruction that the particle size of choice for a β_2 agonist or anticholinergic aerosol should be approximately 3 μ m (Zaenen P et al Int J Pharm 1994, 107:211-7; Int J Pharm 1995, 114:111-5; Thorax 1996, 51:977-980).

Besides the therapeutic purposes, the size of aerosol particles is important in respect to the side effects of the drugs. For example, it is well known that the oropharynx deposition of aerosol formulations of steroids can result in side effects such as candidiasis of mouth and throat.

On the other hand a higher systemic exposure to the aerosol particles due to deep lung penetration can enhance the undesired systemic effects of the drugs. For example, the systemic exposure to steroids can produce side effects on bone metabolism and growth.

It has been reported that the particle size characteristics of HFA aerosol formulations of the state of the art are often very different from the products to be replaced.

EP 0553298 describes an aerosol formulation comprising: a therapeutically effective amount of beclomethasone 17,21 dipropionate (BDP); a propellant comprising a hydrofluorocarbon selected from the group consisting of HFA 134a, HFA 227, and a mixture thereof,

and ethanol in an amount effective to solubilize the beclomethasone 17,21 dipropionate in the propellant. The formulation is further characterized in that substantially all of the beclomethasone 17,21
5 dipropionate is dissolved in the formulation and that the formulation contains no more than 0,0005% by weight of any surfactant.

It has been reported in literature that these new formulations of beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) as a
10 solution in HFA 134a deliver a particle size distribution with a MMAD of 1.1 μ m. This means that the peripheral pulmonary deposition of very small particles increases and submicronic particles can easily be directly absorbed from the alveoli into the bloodstream. The rate and
15 extent of systemic absorption is significantly increased and as a consequence undesired effects for example certain side effects can increase. A relatively large fraction of the dose is exhaled. The implications of this for clinical efficacy and toxic effects are
20 great. They arise because the principles of formulation using HFAs may modify the physical form of the respired cloud.

According to the invention there is provided a composition for use in an aerosol inhaler, the
25 composition comprising an active material, a propellant containing a hydrofluoroalkane (HFA), a cosolvent and further comprising a low volatility component to increase the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of the

aerosol particles on actuation of the inhaler.

It is an object of the invention to provide an aerosol formulation which avoids or mitigates the problems indicated above and in particular provides an aerosol composition including HFA as propellant having similar size characteristics to the CFC compositions which they replace. That would help to provide an MDI having HFAs as propellant which was pharmaceutically and clinically equivalent to the MDIs which use CFCs.

10 The invention thus allows the design of formulas using HFAs with similar particle size characteristics to those of the CFC formulations they replace. This allows development of products which are pharmaceutically and clinically equivalent to the CFC formulation.

15 Examples of low volatility components which may be included in the aerosol formulation to increase the MMAD of the aerosol particles include glycerol and propylene glycol.

Glycerol and propylene glycol have previously been investigated as additives in aqueous systems in relation to the nebulization of fluids by jet or ultrasonic nebulizers. The contents of propylene glycol or glycerol in these systems was very high (10-50% v/v). The results were equivocal.

25 Davis SS in Int J Pharm 1(2), 71-83, 1978 examined the aerosolization characteristics of two common nebulizers using a propylene glycol-water systems. The output of aerosol solution droplets passed through a

max. at 30% vol./vol. propylene glycol; an increased output was paralleled by an increased particle size.

Davis SS et al in Int J Pharm 1(2),85-93, 1978 examined the output of aerosol droplets from a common
5 nebulizer using a water-propylene glycol-ethanol system.

In general an increased alcohol content led to an increased total output from the nebulizer. However, much of this output was in the form of solvent vapour and only a modest increase in the output of therapeutically
10 effective aerosol droplets was obtained.

Miller WC and Mason JW in J Aerosol Med 4(4), 293-4, 1991 used radioaerosol techniques to determine if adding propylene glycol would improve aerosol delivery of a jet nebulizer in spontaneously breathing normal human
15 subjects. They found no significant differences in either deposition or penetration between saline control and a 20% propylene glycol solution.

McCallion et al in Pharm Res 12(11), 1682-7, 1995 sought to evaluate in three types of jet nebulizer and
20 two ultrasonic devices the influence on the aerosol's size and output characteristics of fluid systems containing water, ethanol, glycerol 10-50% (v/v) solutions, propylene glycol 10-50% (v/v) solutions and silicone fluids 200/0.65 cs - 200/100 cs. The parameters
25 considered were viscosity and surface tension.

While the droplet size appeared to be inversely proportional to viscosity for jet nebulizers, it was directly proportional to viscosity for ultrasonic

nebulizers.

Although fluid systems with lower surface tensions generally produced slightly smaller MMADs, a clear relationship between surface tension and droplet size was
5 not established.

The applications concerning aerosol formulations using the new propellant systems disclosed in the known prior art seek to overcome problems of stability of the formulations. The present application seeks a solution
10 both for the stability of the formulations and to the therapeutical problems associated with the new medicinal aerosols, because the presence in the formulation of a low volatility ingredient influences the most important factor affecting aerosol delivery to the lung: the
15 aerodynamic mass of the particles.

It has surprisingly been found that by adding a low volatility component to the composition, the MMAD of the aerosol particles on actuation of the inhaler can be increased and thus the compositions may be formulated so
20 that the aerodynamic particle size characteristics are similar to those for the CFC-propellant compositions.

Advantageously, the low volatility component has a vapour pressure at 25°C not more than 0.1 kPa, preferably not more than 0.05 kPa. We have found that with the
25 addition of components having such low vapour pressures, control of the MMAD may be obtained.

It is thought that the addition of the component having a low vapour pressure depresses the atomisable

characteristics of the HFA propellant giving larger particles on actuation of the inhaler.

The low vapour pressure of the low volatility component is to be contrasted with that of the cosolvent which preferably has a vapour pressure at 25°C not less than 3 kPa, more preferably not less than 5 kPa.

The cosolvent has advantageously a higher polarity than that of the propellant and the cosolvent is used to increase the solubility of the active material in the propellant.

Advantageously the cosolvent is an alcohol. The cosolvent is preferably ethanol. The cosolvent may include one or more materials.

The low volatility component may be a single material or a mixture of two or more materials.

We have found that glycols are particularly suitable for use as the low volatility component, especially propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol and glycerine.

Other particularly suitable materials are thought to include other alcohols and glycols, for example alkanols such as decanol (decyl alcohol), sugar alcohols including sorbitol, mannitol, lactitol and maltitol, glycofural (tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol) and dipropylene glycol.

It is also envisaged that various other materials may be suitable for use as the low volatility component including acids for example saturated carboxylic acids including lauric acid, myristic acid and stearic acid; unsaturated carboxylic acids including sorbic acid,

saccharine, ascorbic acid, cyclamic acid, amino acids, or aspartame might be used.

The low volatility component may include esters for example ascorbyl palmitate and tocopherol; alkanes for
5 example dodecane and octadecane; terpenes for example menthol, eucalyptol, limonene; sugars for example lactose, glucose, sucrose; polysaccharides for example ethyl cellulose, dextran; antioxidants for example butylated hydroxytoluene, butylated hydroxyanisole;
10 polymeric materials for example polyvinyl alcohol, polyvinyl acetate, polyvinyl pyrrolidone; amines for example ethanolamine, diethanolamine, triethanolamine; steroids for example cholesterol, cholesterol esters.

The amount of low volatility component in the
15 composition depends to some extent upon the amount of active material and cosolvent in the composition. Advantageously, the composition includes not more than 20% by weight of the low volatility component. Preferably the composition includes not more than 10% by
20 weight of the low volatility component.

On actuation of the inhaler, the propellant and the ethanol vaporise but because of the low vapour pressure of the low volatility component, that component generally will not.

25 It is thought that it is preferable for the composition to contain at least 0.2%, preferably at least 1% by weight of the low volatility component. The composition may contain between 1% and 2% by weight.

Most advantageously, the composition is such that, on actuation of the aerosol inhaler in use, the MMAD of the aerosol particles is not less than $2\mu\text{m}$. For some active materials the MMAD is preferably not less than 5 $2.5\mu\text{m}$ and for a few formulations, the preferred MMAD will be greater than $3\mu\text{m}$ or even greater than $4\mu\text{m}$. As is indicated in the examples below, for one corresponding inhaler formulation using CFC propellants, the MMAD of the aerosol particles is approximately $2.8\mu\text{m}$ (see Table 4 10 below).

Preferred HFA propellants are HFA 134 a and HFA 227. The propellant may comprise a mixture of more than one component.

The composition may be in the form of a solution or 15 a suspension or an ultrafine suspension or colloidal solution. The invention is particularly relevant where the composition is a solution but also relates to suspension, in particular those of small particle size. Preferably the composition is a solution.

20 In some cases a small quantity of water may be added to the composition to improve the solution of the active material and/or the low volatility component in the cosolvent.

The active material may be one or more of any 25 biologically active material which could be administered by inhalation. Active materials commonly administered in that way include B_2 agonists, for example salbutamol and its salts, steroids for example beclomethasone

dipropionate or anti-cholergics for example ipratropium bromide.

The invention further provides use of a low volatility component in a composition for an aerosol inhaler, the composition comprising an active material, a propellant containing a hydrofluoroalkane (HFA) and a cosolvent, to increase the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of the aerosol particles on actuation of the inhaler.

As indicated above, on actuation of the inhaler, the aerosol particles advantageously have an MMAD of not less than $2\mu\text{m}$, for many formulations more preferably $2.5\mu\text{m}$.

As described above, the low volatility component advantageously has a vapour pressure at 25°C not more than 0.1 kPa .

The invention also provides an inhaler containing the composition in accordance with the invention.

Also provided is a method of filling an aerosol inhaler with a composition, the method comprising filling the following components into the inhaler

- (a) one or more active materials,
- (b) one or more low volatility components,
- (c) one or more cosolvents

followed by the addition of a propellant containing a hydrofluoroalkane (HFA).

The invention further provides aerosol particles emitted from an aerosol inhaler containing a composition, the composition comprising an active component, a

propellant containing a hydrofluoroalkane (HFA), a cosolvent and a low volatility component, wherein the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of the aerosol particles is not less than 2 μ m.

5 For some compositions, it is preferred that the MMAD of the particles is not less than 2.5 μ m as indicated above.

 The particles will usually be in the form of droplets.

10 Embodiments of the invention will now be described by way of example.

 The aerosol compositions of the invention described below were prepared by the following method. The required components of a composition were added into a can in the following order: drug, non-volatile additive, absolute ethanol. After crimping of the valve on to the can, the propellant was added through the valve. The weight gain of the can after each component was added was recorded to allow the percentage, by weight, of each component in the formulation to be calculated.

15 The aerodynamic particle size distribution of each formulation was characterized using a Multistage Cascade Impactor according to the procedure described in the European Pharmacopoeia 2nd edition, 1995, part V.5.9.1. pages 15-17. In this specific case an Andersen Cascade Impactor (ACI) was used. Results represented were obtained from ten cumulative actuations of a formulation. Deposition of the drug on each ACI plate

20 component in the formulation to be calculated.

25 The aerodynamic particle size distribution of each formulation was characterized using a Multistage Cascade Impactor according to the procedure described in the European Pharmacopoeia 2nd edition, 1995, part V.5.9.1. pages 15-17. In this specific case an Andersen Cascade Impactor (ACI) was used. Results represented were obtained from ten cumulative actuations of a formulation. Deposition of the drug on each ACI plate

was determined by high pressure liquid chromatography. The mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) and geometric standard deviation (GSD) were calculated from plots of the cumulative percentage undersize of drug
5 collected on each ACI plate (probit scale), against the upper cut off diameter for each respective ACI plate (log10 scale). The fine particle dose of each formulation was determined from the mass of drug collected on Stages 2 through to Filter ($< 5.8\mu\text{m}$) divided by the number of
10 actuations per experiment.

Tables 1 and 2 show comparative examples indicating the characteristics of aerosol formulations containing HFA 134a, different beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) (active material) amounts and different ethanol
15 concentrations. The formulations do not contain a low volatility component. As can be seen, the MMAD is not substantially influenced by the ratio of cosolvent to propellant.

Increase of the concentration of the active
20 ingredient gives a slight variation of MMAD which, in this case, is correlated with the BDP content.

For equal concentrations of BDP the content of ethanol and the addition up to 0.5% of water does not significantly affect the MMAD.

25 Table 3 compares the characteristics of a CFC ipratropium bromide (IPBr) standard formulation with a HFA 134a, ethanol 25% w/w ipratropium bromide (IPBr) solution formulation. The results show that the MMAD of

the HFA, IPBr formulation is $1.3 \pm 0.1\mu\text{m}$ in comparison with an MMAD of $2.8 \pm 0.1\mu\text{m}$ of the CFC - IPBr formulation.

Thus it can be seen that the MMAD of the formulation having the HFA as propellant is significantly lower than that for the conventional CFC formulation.

In Table 4, HFA 134a, ethanol 13%, IPBr formulations with a content of glycerol from 0 to 1% are compared with a CFC - IPBr formulation. The results show that the MMAD of the HFA solution formulation can be increased by the addition of low volatile additives such as glycerol.

In other studies the effects of increasing concentrations of propylene glycol, glycerol and polyethylene glycol (PEG) in HFA 134a and ethanol beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) formulations have been determined.

The % indicated for the components of the composition are % by weight unless indicated to the contrary.

The results are reported in Tables 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

The results show the direct relationship between the percentage of low volatile ingredient and particle MMAD. These findings demonstrate that the addition of an established amount of low volatile additives in HFA formulations can increase the MMAD of the particles to values comparable to the MMAD of the previously known CFC formulations which the HFA formulations seek to replace.

Advantageously, the GSD is not significantly changed on addition of the low volatility component. In particular, for glycerol as the low volatility component, Tables 8, 9 and 10 show that the GSD is not substantially
5 changed by the addition of glycerol. Glycerol is a particularly preferred material for the low volatility component.

Therefore, the formulations of the invention allow improvement of the delivery characteristics of drugs to
10 the lung by modulating the aerodynamic particle size and size distribution so that the pattern of deposition gives an equivalent clinical effect.

Table 1: BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol - Actuator orifice 0.25 mm

	BDP 10 mg / 10 ml ethanol 7.9%	BDP 10 mg / 10 ml ethanol 12.9-13.0%	BDP 20 mg / 10 ml ethanol 7.9%	BDP 20 mg / 10 ml ethanol 13.0%
Mean emitted dose (μg)	44.7	45.1	84.8	87.6
Fine particle dose (μg)	31.1	24.5	63.1	46.2
MMAD \pm GSD	0.8 ± 1.8	0.9 ± 2.0	1.0 ± 1.8	1.0 ± 1.9
Shot weight (mg)	59.0	58.7	59.1	57.6
Replications	6	2	6	2

Table 2 : BDP formulations in HFA 134a, ethanol and small amounts of water (up to 0.5%) - Actuator orifice 0.33 mm

	BDP 10 mg / 10 ml ethanol 13.7% H ₂ O 0.1%	BDP 10 mg / 10 ml ethanol 13.6% H ₂ O 0.5%	BDP 50 mg / 10 ml ethanol 14.9% H ₂ O 0.1%	BDP 50 mg / 10 ml ethanol 14.9% H ₂ O 0.5%
Mean emitted dose (µg)	43.2	42.9	222.1	215.1
Fine particle dose (µg)	14.9	12.7	67.4	60.2
MMAD (µm) ± GSD	1.0 ± 2.2	1.0 ± 2.1	1.8 ± 2.2	1.7 ± 2.2
Shot weight (mg)	58.1	58.0	59.0	57.5
Replications	6	6	6	6

Table 3 : Comparison of ipratropium bromide formulation in CFC and ipratropium bromide solution in HFA 134a - ethanol content 25% w/w

	CFC - IPBr formulation	HFA 134a - IPBr formulation *
Mean Emitted Dose (μg)	18.8	17.1
Fine particle dose (μg)	6.1	2.6
MMAD (μm) \pm GSD	2.8 \pm 1.8	1.3 \pm 2.0
Shot weight (mg)	75.4	55.7
Replications	3	4

* HFA formulation: 4 mg / 10 ml IPBr; ethanol 25% (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml.
Actuator orifice: 0.33 mm

Table 4 : Comparison of CFC - Ipratropium bromide formulation and HFA 134a / ethanol 12.9± 0.1% - Ipratropium bromide solution in presence of increasing amount of glycerol

	CFC - IPBr formulation	HFA 134a - IPBr formulation *	Glycerol content (%)		
			0	0.5	1.0
Mean emitted dose (µg)	18.8		16.1	18.7	18.8
Fine particle dose (µg)	6.1		3.9	6.9	5.6
MMAD (µm) ± GSD	2.8 ± 1.8		1.2 ± 1.9	1.9 ± 2.0	2.5 ± 2.1
Shot weight (mg)	75.4		58.0	59.0	58.3
Replications	3		6	6	6

* HFA formulation: 4 mg / 10 ml IPBr; ethanol 12.9 ± 0.1% (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml.

Actuator orifice: 0.33 mm

Table 5: Comparison of BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol in the presence of increasing amount of propylene glycol

	Propylene glycol content			
	0.0 % (w/w)	1.1 % (w/w)	3.2 % (w/w)	6.8 % (w/w)
Mean emitted dose (μg)	41.8	44.0	43.6	44.9
Fine particle dose (μg)	10.3	9.3	7.3	4.9
MMAD (μm) \pm GSD	1.1 \pm 2.3	1.6 \pm 3.4	2.9 \pm 4.1	4.6 \pm 3.9
Replications	2	6	6	6

Formulation: BDP 10 mg / 10 ml; ethanol 12.9 \pm 0.1% (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml.
 Actuator orifice: 0.42 mm

Table 6 : Comparison of BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol in the presence of increasing amount of propylene glycol

	Propylene glycol content			
	0.0 % (w/w)	0.7 % (w/w)	2.8 % (w/w)	6.3 % (w/w)
Mean emitted dose (µg)	209.1	218.4	204.2	242.6
Fine particle dose (µg)	41.6	41.1	32.1	25.2
MMAD (µm) ± GSD	1.7 ± 2.3	2.1 ± 2.7	3.3 ± 3.2	4.4 ± 3.8
Replications	3	3	3	3

Formulation: BDP 50 mg / 10 ml; ethanol 15.2 ± 0.4% (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml.
 Actuator orifice: 0.42 mm

Table 7 : Comparison of BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol in the presence of increasing amount of propylene glycol

	Propylene glycol content		
	0.0 % (w/w)	1.1 % (w/w)	6.8 % (w/w)
Mean emitted dose (µg)	43.2	42.4	45.9
Fine particle dose (µg)	23.4	21.1	13.0
MMAD (µm) ± GSD	0.9 ± 1.9	1.3 ± 2.7	3.3 ± 2.6
Replications	3	2	2

Formulation: BDP 10 mg / 10 ml; ethanol 13.1 ± 0.3% (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml.
 Actuator orifice: 0.25 mm

Table 8 : Comparison of BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol in the presence of increasing amount of glycerol

	Glycerol content		
	0.0 % (w/w)	1.0 % (w/w)	1.3. % (w/w) 1.6 % (w/w)
Mean emitted dose (µg)	205.8	218.3	220.8 228.0
Fine particle dose (µg)	105.9	94.4	100.3 96.6
MMAD (µm) ± GSD	1.4 ± 1.9	2.4 ± 2.0	2.6 ± 2.0 2.7 ± 2.0
Replications	6	3	3 2

Formulation: BDP 50 mg / 10 ml; ethanol 15.0 ± 0.2 % (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml
Actuator orifice: 0.25 mm

Table 9 : Comparison of BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol in the presence of increasing amount of glycerol

	Glycerol content			
	0.0 % (w/w)	1.0 % (w/w)	1.3. % (w/w)	1.6 % (w/w)
Mean emitted dose (µg)	222.1	227.9	228.4	231.7
Fine particle dose (µg)	67.4	55.9	54.3	50.9
MMAD (µm) ± GSD	1.8 ± 2.2	2.8 ± 2.2	3.1 ± 2.3	3.1 ± 2.3
Replications	6	4	3	2

Formulation: BDP 50 mg / 10 ml; ethanol 15.0 ± 0.2 % (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml
 Actuator orifice: 0.33 mm

Table 10: Comparison of BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol in the presence of increasing amount of glycerol

	Glycerol content		
	0.0 % (w/w)	1.0 % (w/w)	1.3. % (w/w) 1.6 % (w/w)
Mean emitted dose (μg)	209.1	226.2	216.2 226.8
Fine particle dose (μg)	41.6	38.9	38.2 35.7
MMAD (μm) \pm GSD	1.7 \pm 2.3	3.0 \pm 2.4	2.9 \pm 2.3 3.2 \pm 2.4
Replications	3	4	3 2

Formulation: BDP 50 mg / 10 ml; ethanol 15.0 \pm 0.2 % (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml
 Actuator orifice: 0.42 mm

Table 11: Comparison of BDP formulations in HFA 134a and ethanol in the presence of polyethylene glycol (PEG) 400 or 8000

	PEG 400 1.1 % (w/w)	PEG 8000 1.0 % (w/w)	0.0 % (w/w)
Mean emitted dose (µg)	218.9	215.0	222.1
Fine particle dose (µg)	55.6	55.6	67.4
MMAD (µm) ± GSD	2.5 ± 2.2	2.5 ± 2.2	1.8 ± 2.2
Replications	2	1	6

Formulation: BDP 50 mg / 10 ml; ethanol 14.9 ± 0.1 % (w/w); HFA 134a fill to 12 ml
 Actuator orifice: 0.33 mm

Claims

1. A composition for use in an aerosol inhaler, the composition comprising an active material, a propellant containing a hydrofluoroalkane (HFA), a cosolvent and
5 further comprising a low volatility component to increase the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of the aerosol particles on actuation of the inhaler.
2. A composition according to claim 1, wherein the low volatility component has a vapour pressure at 25°C not
10 more than 0.1 kPa.
3. A composition according to claim 2, wherein the low volatility component has a vapour pressure at 25°C not more than 0.05 kPa.
4. A composition according to any preceding claim,
15 wherein the cosolvent has a vapour pressure at 25°C not less than 3 kPa.
5. A composition according to any preceding claim, wherein the cosolvent has a vapour pressure at 25°C not less than 5 kPa.
- 20 6. A composition according to any preceding claim, wherein the cosolvent is an alcohol.
7. A composition according to any preceding claim, wherein the low volatility component includes a glycol.
8. A composition according to any preceding claim,
25 wherein the composition includes not more than 20% by weight of the low volatility component.
9. A composition according to any preceding claim, wherein the composition includes at least 0.2% by weight

of the low volatility component.

10. A composition according to any preceding claim, the composition being such that, on actuation of the aerosol inhaler in use, the MMAD of the aerosol particles is not
5 less than $2\mu\text{m}$.
11. A composition according to any preceding claim, wherein the composition is in the form of a solution.
12. A composition for use in an aerosol inhaler, the composition being substantially as herein described.
- 10 13. Use of a low volatility component in a composition for an aerosol inhaler, the composition comprising an active material, a propellant containing hydrofluoro-alkane (HFA) and a cosolvent, to increase the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of the aerosol particles on
15 actuation of the inhaler.
14. Use of a low volatility component according to claim 13 to give a MMAD of the aerosol particles of not less than $2\mu\text{m}$.
15. Use of a low volatility component according to
20 claim 13 or claim 14, wherein the low volatility component has a vapour pressure at 25°C not more than 0.1 kPa.
16. Use of a low volatility component according to any of claims 13 to 15, the composition being as claimed in
25 any of claims 1 to 12.
17. Use of a low volatility component in a composition for an aerosol inhaler the use being substantially as herein described.

18. An aerosol inhaler containing a composition, the composition being as claimed in any of claims 1 to 12.

19. An aerosol inhaler being substantially as herein described.

5 20. Method of filling an aerosol inhaler with a composition, the method comprising filling the following components into the inhaler

(a) one or more active materials,

(b) one or more low volatility components,

10 (c) one or more cosolvents

followed by the addition of a propellant containing a hydrofluoroalkane (HFA).

21. A method according to claim 20, the composition being as claimed in any of claims 1 to 12.

15 22. A method of filling an aerosol inhaler, the method being substantially as herein described.

23. Aerosol particles emitted from an aerosol inhaler containing a composition, the composition comprising an active component, a propellant containing a hydrofluoro-
20 alkane (HFA), a cosolvent and a low volatility component, wherein the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of the aerosol particles is not less than 2 μ m.

24. Aerosol particles according to claim 23, wherein the MMAD of the particles is not less than 2.5 μ m.

25 25. Aerosol particles according to claim 23 or claim 24, wherein the composition is according to any of claims 1 to 12.

26. Aerosol particles being substantially as herein described.



Application No: GB 9712434.1
Claims searched: 1-26

Examiner: Diane Davies
Date of search: 6 October 1998

Patents Act 1977
Search Report under Section 17

Databases searched:

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK Cl (Ed.P): A5B: BLC

Int Cl (Ed.6): A61K 9/00, 9/12

Other: Online: EDOC, JAPIO, WPI

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage		Relevant to claims
X	EP 0653204 A	(Riker Lab. Inc.) Whole document: Aerosol formulation comprising a fluoroalkane propellant, a surface active agent and a highly polar compound.	1-26
X	EP 0504112 A	(Ciba Geigy AG) Whole document: aerosol formulation containing a fluoroalkane, a non-ionic surfactant and other conventional additives.	1-26
X	WO 9801147 A	(Rhone-Poulenc Rorer Ltd.) Whole document: Aerosol formulation comprising a fluoroalkane and <i>inter alia</i> a polyethoxylated compound such as polyethylene glycol.	1-26
X	WO 9527476 A	(Innovative Technology Centre & Univ. of Virginia) Whole document: Aerosol comprising drug, fluoroalkane propellant and a polar surfactant. such as polyethylene glycol.	1-26

X	Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step	A	Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
Y	Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.	P	Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
&	Member of the same patent family	E	Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.



The
Patent
Office

35

Application No: GB 9712434.1
Claims searched: 1-26

Examiner: Diane Davies
Date of search: 6 October 1998

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage	Relevant to claims
X	US 5502076 A (Hofmann La Roche Inc.) Whole document: inhalation aerosol composition comprising a fluoroalkane and a dispersing agent which may be glycerin or polyethylene glycol.	1-26
X	Abstracts of DE 4123663 A (Schwabe GmbH & Co.) Medicinal aerosol containing hydrofluorcarbon propellant, alcohol and a dispersing aid to provide particles below 10 microns.	1-26

X	Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step	A	Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
Y	Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.	P	Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
&	Member of the same patent family	E	Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.